

**National Alliance of Black School Educators
310 Pennsylvania Ave, SE
Washington, DC 20003**

2009 Conference Evaluation Summary

Evaluation Scale:

1= not applicable **2**=Strongly Disagree **3**=Disagree **4**=Agree **5**=Strongly Agree

Title: **MOTIVATING BLACK MALES TO EXCEL IN THE CLASSROOM**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.8
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	5.0
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.8
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.9
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	5.25
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.0

Comments:

- Wanted to stay all day
- Enjoyed the workshop
- Great job and information
- Great presentation

Title: **THE ANSWER: GUARANTEED WAYS TO RAISE TEST SCORES AND IMPROVE DISCIPLINE**

Average Scale

- 1. The presentation was useful to me.-----4.8
- 2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----4.9
- 3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----4.6
- 4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----4.8
- 5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----4.9
- 6. Overall rating of presentation-----4.9**

Comments:

- Great Workshop
- Fantastic Job
- Best Ever
- Best session I attended

Title: **MAXIMIZING THE IEP MEETING EXPERIENCE: UNDERSTANDING THE STRATEGIES AND TECHNIQUES NEEDED TO ENSURE POSITIVE OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN WITH EXCEPTIONALITIES**

Average Scale

- 1. The presentation was useful to me.-----4.7
- 2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----4.5
- 3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----4.6
- 4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----4.8
- 5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----4.5
- 6. Overall rating of presentation-----4.2**

Comments:

- I enjoyed the workshop
- Well done

Title: **GAP ANALYSIS: UNDERSTANDING AND EVALUATING INSTRUCTIONAL GAPS: HOW IT CAN BE USED TO IMPROVE STUDENT PERFORMANCE**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	3.7
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.0
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	3.7
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	3.8
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.1
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	3.75

Comments:

- None

Title: **HOW TO ADD & SUBTRACT: LET ME COUNT THE WAYS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.5
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.5
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.5
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.5
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.5

Comments:

- Well planned
- Very informative

Title: **RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION: WHAT'S INVOLVED WITH MEETING THE NEEDS OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.1
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.25
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.1
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.1
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.25
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.6

Comments:

- Excellent Information
- Great Job

Title: **THE SKY IS THE LIMIT: USING AVIATION TO INCREASE THE MATH SKILLS OF STUDENTS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.1
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.25
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.1
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.1
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.25
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.6

Comments:

- Very good session
- Great job
- Really enjoyed the workshop

Title: **ORDER IN THE CLASSROOM- CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT 101**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.8
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.9
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.8
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.7
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.7

Comments:

- Excellent workshop
- Very good training

Title: **OCEANSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND PARENTS BRIDGING THE PARENT SCHOOL DIVIDE: A NATIONAL BEST AND PRACTICAL MODEL.**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.8
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.9
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.8
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.7
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.7

Comments:

- None

Title: **GOING TO COLLEGE: USING RESEARCH BASED PRACTICES TO PREPARE STUDENTS FOR COLLEGE**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.2
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.2
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.2
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.5
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.3
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.3

Comments:

- None

Title: **“REALLY...I HAVE TO REACH ALL OF THE KIDS?”: DIFFERENTIATED INSTRUCTION 101**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.4
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.4
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.2
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.5
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.2

Comments:

- None

Title: **LEAVING NO CHILD BEHIND: EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES NEEDED TO TEACH “ALL” STUDENTS**

Average Scale

- 1. The presentation was useful to me.-----4.0
- 2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----4.0
- 3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----4.1
- 4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----3.9
- 5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----4.1
- 6. Overall rating of presentation-----4.0**

Comments:

- None

Title: **DRIVING ACHIEVEMENT USING IMMERSIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS**

Average Scale

- 1. The presentation was useful to me.-----4.6
- 2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----4.3
- 3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----5.0
- 4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----5.0
- 5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----4.6
- 6. Overall rating of presentation-----4.3**

Comments:

- None

Title: **RELEVANT RESEARCH IS A SLAM DUNK USING MICROSOFT POWERPOINT**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.6
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.4
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.4
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.7
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.6

Comments:

- None

Title: **EDUCATION IS A CIVIAL RIGHT- AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.9
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	5.2
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	5.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	5.0
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	5.0
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.0

Comments:

- None

Title: **GOOGLE TOOLS SEMINAR: LEARNING INNOVATIVE ISTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES FOR WEB 2.0 TOOLS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.75
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.7
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.75
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.75
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.70
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.9

Comments:

- None

Title: **SEAT WARMER OR EUDUCATIONAL LEADER? : THE MYTHS AND REALITIES OF INTERIM SUPERINTENDENT**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.3
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.3
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.3
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.3
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.3
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.3

Comments:

- None

Title: **SUCCESSFUL STRATEGIES FOR PRAXIS STRATEGIES ON THE PLT**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.3
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.25
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.25
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.3
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.2

Comments:

- None

Title: **THE MATH PARTY: LEARNING MATHEMATICS THROUGH MUSIC**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.9
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	5.2
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.8
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.9
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.9
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.0

Comments:

- None

Title: THE NABSE MANDARIN CHINESE INITIATIVE: INCREASING MINORITY PARTICIPATION IN THE GLOBAL COMMUNITY

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	5.0
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.3
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.6
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.6
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.6

Comments:

- None

Title: THE KENWOOD BROTHERHOOD: EXPLORING A SCHOOL BASED MALE MENTORING PROGRAM WITH A 100% SUCCESS RATE!!

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	5.2
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	5.5
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	5.2
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	5.1
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.7
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.2

Comments:

- None

Title: **TEACHING HIGH LEVEL MATH TO ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS: STRATEGIES AND BEST PRACTICES**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.7
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.7
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.7
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.8
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.3

Comments:

- None

Title: **RTI HISTORY & PRACTICE- WHAT TEACHERS NEED TO DO TO IMPLEMENT THE THIRD TIER MODEL**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.5
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.9
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.9
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.6

Comments:

- None

Title: **AFRICAN AMERICANS AND STANDARDIZED TESTS: THE REAL REASON FOR LOW TEST SCORES**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.0
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.0
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.0
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.0
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.0

Comments:

- None

Title: **SUCCESS HIGHWAYS: UNDERSTANDING AND IMPROVING THE ACADEMIC RESILENCY SKILLS OF STUDENTS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.4
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.4
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.2
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.7
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.3
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.4

Comments:

- None

Title: **RHYMING TO RESPECT: CREATIVE STRATEGIES IN EDUCATING URBAN STUDENTS (THE REMIX)**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.9
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.9
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	5.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	5.0
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	5.0
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.0

Comments:

- None

Title: **AN ANALYTIC LOOK AT THE FUTURE OF AFRICAN AMERICAN EDUCATORS AND ITS IMPACT OF BLACK CHILDREN**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.4
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.5
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.3
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.4
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.4
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.5

Comments:

- None

Title: **EDUCATING BLACK MALES**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.8
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.8
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.7
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.7
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	5.0
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.6

Comments:

- None

Title: **EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES FOR INCREASING LITERACY AMONG BLACK MALES**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.4
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.3
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.4
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.3
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.5
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.4

Comments:

- None

Title: **USE THE BLUES TO TEACH LITERACY: MUSIC AS A 2ND LANGUAGE**

Average Scale

- 1. The presentation was useful to me.-----4.6
- 2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----4.5
- 3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----4.5
- 4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----4.6
- 5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----4.6
- 6. Overall rating of presentation-----4.3**

Comments:

- None

Title: **SCHOLAR IN RESIDENCE 1**

Average Scale

- 1. The presentation was useful to me.-----4.6
- 2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----4.6
- 3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----4.6
- 4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----4.6
- 5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----4.6
- 6. Overall rating of presentation-----4.6**

Comments:

- None

Title: **SUPERINTENDENT’S STRAND-ROOM 204**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.7
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.8
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.7
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.8
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.8
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.7

Comments:

- None

Title: **ASPIRING SCHOOL LEADERS: IN PERSUIT OF DRAMATIC STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT GAINS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	3.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.3
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.2
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.2
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.2

Comments:

- None

Title: **RESEARCH ROUNDTABLE I. “STRATEGIES FOR CHANGE IN EDUCATION: A DISCUSSION OF PROGRESS IN CLOSING GAPS IN ACHIEVEMENT.”**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.7
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.6
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.7
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.6
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.7

Comments:

- None

Title: **ASPIRING SUPERINTENDENTS’ ACADEMY**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	5.1
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.9
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	5.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	5.2
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	5.0
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.3

Comments:

- None

Title: **REINVENTING HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION AT FORT-WAYNE COMMUNITY SCHOOLS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.3
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.3
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.7
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.3
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.7
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.4

Comments:

- None

Title: **P3: PROTEGES AND PROVACATEURS IN PRACTICE**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.5
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.1
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.3
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.5
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.6
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.4

Comments:

- None

Title: **FAITH BASED SYMPOSIUM**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.9
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.8
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.7
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.6
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.5
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	6.3

Comments:

- None

Title: **ACCESS AND EQUITY: SYNCHRONIZING CULTURE AND TEACHER PRACTICE**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.1
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.3
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.0
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.4
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.1

Comments:

- None

Title: **SAFE PASSAGES IS A CRITICAL ISSUE AS IT RELATES TO STUDENT SAFETY**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.8
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.6
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.6
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.8
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.9
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.8

Comments:

- None

Title: **THE LEARNING SYLE OF BEBE’S KIDS**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	5.0
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	5.0
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	5.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	5.0
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	5.0
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	5.0

Comments:

- None

Title: **HIV/AIDS PLENARY**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.8
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.8
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.8
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.8
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.8
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.9

Comments:

- None

Title: **RESEARCH ROUNDTABLE II: “KEEPING ASA HILLIARD’S VISION ALIVE: THE 2009 EGYPT EDUCATIONAL SYMPOSIUM AND TOUR.”**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.4
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.1
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.0
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.4
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.3
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.3

Comments:

- None

Title: **TOWN MEETING: “COMMON CORE STANDARDS”**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.5
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.6
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.5
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.4
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.5

Comments:

- None

Title: **SCHOLAR IN RESIDENCE III.**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.6
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.6
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.3
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.7
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.6
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.5

Comments:

- None

Title: **SCHOLAR IN RESIDENCE II.**

	Average Scale
1. The presentation was useful to me.-----	4.5
2. The content was presented in a logical, well-organized sequence.-----	4.3
3. The content was presented with sufficient detail.-----	4.5
4. The examples increased the clarity and utility of the content-----	4.6
5. The content was timely and appropriate for the population of users-----	4.7
6. Overall rating of presentation-----	4.5

Comments:

- None